



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/033,055]	12/27/2001	Ronald M. Burch	200.1079CON	7860
23280	7590 05/14/2004			EXAMINER	
		DSON & KAPPE	CELSA, BE	NNETT M	
485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10018				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1639	

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ·	8 1 1 1 1 7 7 7 7	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Restriction / ENECTEON		10/033,055	BURCH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bennett Celsa	1639			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time, within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 30-47 is/are pending in the application	n.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 30-47 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acco	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
· ·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:	n have been received				
	 Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents 		on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau	•	a in the realistic stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.			
A44a.a.b	440)					
Attachmen 1) Notice	τ(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Page	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			
	rademark Office	,				

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 30-47 are currently pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 30-37 and 45, drawn to pharmaceutical compositions comprising celecoxib and oxycodone, classified in class 548, subclass 377.1 and class 546, subclass 45.
- II. Claims 38-44 and 46-47, drawn to method of treating pain by administering celecoxib and oxycodone, classified in class 514, subclasses 403,406 and 282 and class 424, subclass 468.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as administering aspirin or other pain killers.

Upon the election of a compound invention (e.g. group I) and upon the indication of allowable subject matter, the Examiner will consider the rejoinder of method of use claims commensurate in scope to the allowed compound claims (e.g. Rejoinder).

Art Unit: 1639

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and:

a. have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification; and/or

- b. the search required for Group I is not required for Group II; and/or
- c. because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

ELECTION OF SPECIES (GROUP I ONLY)

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: different dosage formulations (E.g. oral, topical, suppository etc.: see claims 33 and 34) which require different and separately burdensome manual and computer bibliographic searches in patent and literature areas..

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 30 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1639

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1639

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bennett Celsa whose telephone number is 571-272-0807. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on 571-273-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BC May 7, 2004 Bennett Celsa Primary Examiner Art Unit 1639